DEPARTMENT OF COUNSELING MINISTRIES

STUDENT EVALUATION OF FIELD PLACEMENT SITE SUPERVISION

	Midterm [Final
Pleas	ase select the appropriate course that corresponds with you	ur degree program:
	M.A. in Human Services, HSC 596	
	M.A. in Pastoral Counseling, PAC 596	
	Ph.D. Counseling and Psychological Studies, MHPS 696	
assess allows includ	purpose of this evaluation is to allow the student to evaluate site sess the progress of supervision as they learn how one becomes an extension was the student to commend the site supervisor and/or express concude additional comments on this form or on a separate page that your experience. Comments will not affect your grade for the field exp	effective helper/leader. This evaluation also acerns regarding site supervision. Please ou think would help Regent University assess
Stude	dent Name:	
Site N	Name:	
Site Su	Supervisor Name:	
Site Su	Supervisor Email and Phone Number:	
I. Ple	lease answer the following questions about your field expen	rience site supervision:
1.	1. How many hours have you received in weekly one-to-one supe	rvision?
2.	Do you receive supervision in a group with other field experiena. If so, how many students are in the group?b. How many hours per week?	ce students? Yes No
3.	 Please describe the content and manner of your supervision se manner = feedback, how is it provided)- 	ssions: (i.e., content = what is covered;

	4.	How would you describe your satisfaction with your supervision?		
		Excellent Poor Poor		
	A. If, on question# 6, you answered "fair" or "poor," please explain why you answered this way and provide some reasonable recommendations that would be useful to the site supervisor and to the Department of Counseling Ministries (indicate strengths and weaknesses of site and/or supervisor experience):			
		B. Have you discussed your level of satisfaction regarding your field experience site with the:		
		Site Supervisor: Yes No Faculty Supervisor: Yes No		
	5.	How many TOTAL hours have you accumulated at your site as of the date of this form (i.e., direct, indirect, and supervision)?		
II.		Please rate quality of supervision		
	1.	1. Quality of supervision and feedback provided by supervisor (Check One):		
	Well Below			
		Somewhat Below		
	Appropriate Level			
		Somewhat Above		
		Well Above		
	2.	Supervisor responds to questions, concerns, emails, etc. in a timely manner (Check One):		
	Well Below			
		Somewhat Below		
		Appropriate Level		
		Somewhat Above		
		Well Above		

3. Supervisor treats me with respect and allows autonomy in my work (Check One):

Well Below		
Somewhat Below		
Appropriate Level		
Somewhat Above		
Well Above		
Procedural matters, agency policy, etc., are clearly communicated to me by my supervisor or other site staff (Check One):		
Well Below		
Somewhat Below		
Appropriate Level		
Somewhat Above		
Well Above		
I receive timely feedback from my supervisor (Check One):		
Well Below		
Somewhat Below		
Appropriate Level		
Somewhat Above		
Well Above		
Supervisor is emotionally supportive and provides positive reinforcement of my successes and efforts (Check One):		
Well Below		
Somewhat Below		
Appropriate Level		
Somewhat Above		

4.

5.

6.

Well Above

Well Below	
Somewhat Below	
Appropriate Level	
Somewhat Above	
Well Above	
8. My supervisor is available to me wh	en I request assistance (Check One):
Well Below	
Somewhat Below	
Appropriate Level	
Somewhat Above	
Well Above	
9. My supervisor is realistically deman	ding of me as a student professional (Check One):
Well Below	
Somewhat Below	
Appropriate Level	
Somewhat Above	
Well Above	
10. Please provide any additional comments Supervisor:	you would like to share with your Faculty Supervisor about your Site
Student Signature	Date:

7. My supervisor utilizes effective organizational and management skills (Check One):