Greetings, Fellow Travelers. In this issue, we offer an eclectic mix of research and theory beginning with the exploration of Mahatma Gandhi’s leadership qualities through the Servant Leadership Behaviour Scale (SLBS) lens followed by an intriguing theoretical consideration of how certain organizations might develop social responsibility strategies without sacrificing their financial goals. Next, an empirical study from a Turkish perspective explores the relationships between the concepts of transactional and authentic leadership, trust in leader, and organizational identification. The fourth paper is an empirical study that considers expanding the Competing Values Framework’s utility by proposing distinctions between men and women, particularly with respect to transformational and transactional leadership. This is followed, in turn, by a research paper detailing the development and validation of an abbreviated Revised Self-Leadership Questionnaire. Our second theory paper for this issue tackles the difficult aspect of leader wisdom stipulating that as leader constructive development capacity increases, so does wisdom, and is followed by our final paper that examines the differences between college students’ self-reported emotionally intelligent leadership behaviors based on levels of involvement in student organizations and holding formal leadership roles.

Although we don’t have a Practitioner’s Corner paper in this issue, we urge you to revisit and deeply reflect on the Practitioner’s Corner by Mensch and Dingman from IJLS Issue (Vol. 6, Iss. 1). For next summer, we are considering an IJLS Special Edition dedicated to exploring thoroughly this paper’s profound implications for practical 21st century leadership theory, research and practice. We enthusiastically solicit your feedback on this consideration.

Your associate editor, Dr. Diane Norbutus, provides an excellent review of McCrimmon’s (2006) *Burn! 7 Leadership Myths in Ashes*. McCrimmon’s work offers a powerful argument for critically distinguishing leadership and management.

As noted in the previous issue (Vol. 7, Iss. 1), IJLS is structured to be first time author friendly, providing studies and theory of leading research that best reflects international 21st century yearning for democracy, equality, and human dignity. In keeping with our acceptance of the hermeneutic challenges to understanding when authors seek to express subtle leadership complexities in a non-native language, we request authors’ continuing patience with our review and editing processes. Please note that it can take...
120 to 150 days to thoroughly consider each manuscript from multiple perspectives that reflect their potential to enrich all of us and promote global respect and understanding. Although we are confident every potential author considers the IJLS purpose prior to submitting a manuscript, we recently developed and are now employing an expedited process for more quickly returning to authors manuscripts that, for whatever reason, do not, in our view, sufficiently align with that purpose.

Finally, Dr. Norbutus and I remain blessed for the talent of our managing editor, Eileen DesAutels Wiltshire, and her production colleagues, including Julia Mattera, communications specialist, Joy Henley, our new copy editor, and Sarah Stanfield, our web production specialist.

We always welcome your suggestions to improve our performance or IJLS itself. Please feel free to contact us at ijls@regent.edu. We will get back with you as soon as we possibly can.